G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—22-7-14

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1902
(Police)

File No. 52

Serial Nos. 4, 5, 10 a. ..9 11

B
~~**Papers Filed.**~~

Month June '03

SUBJECT.

Prosecution in cases of infant marriages during the year 1902-03 (Mahichi)

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.
	Under S. B. B. Shankaran

SECTION Police

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,

(REVENUE AND GENERAL DEPARTMENTS).

FILE NO. S. 1-2

SERIAL NO.

(Full Office Note.)

‡ 1. No. and date of latest letter or paper.
2. Catch words to show nature of subject.
3. Subject to be in red and body of note in black ink.

Subject. Infant marriage.

Letter No 6981/420 dated 1st June 1903 from the Inspector General of Police in Mysore, recommending the prosecution of the parties concerned in an infant marriage that took place at Mathioli, Shimshalli Taluk on 27th March 1902.

Note.

The marriage took place on the 27th March 1902, i.e more than one year and 2 months ago. The girl's age on the date of the marriage is reported to have been 7 yrs 9 months and 18 days or 2 months and 12 days below the prescribed minimum.

The Inspector General recommends the prosecution of (1) Bheemachar the father of the bride, (2) Narasimhachar, father of the bridegroom & (3) Kijaya char, Purohit

Bn 2

* 1. Statement of case in narrative form in regular sequence of subject matter or date.
2. Opinions to be stated only as supported by precedents, or general rules which should always be quoted.
3. Serial numbers in file, &c., to be always stated.
4. Dates of important documents referred should be given.
5. Write on both sides, leaving the same side as margin.

But it appears from the records and the Police Asstt Superintt's memo that Narasimha Char, the father of the bridegroom is dead & that Kijayacha, the Purohit acted the part of father of the bridegroom.

The marriage having taken place more than a year ago and the girl's age being little less than the prescribed minimum it is a question for consideration whether the prosecution of the parties is ~~expedient~~ desirable.

Filed for orders with a draft declining to sanction a prosecution, & calling for explanation of the officers responsible for the delay in making the report.

10/6/03

Hrm. 10.6.03

11/6/3

The Dewan

02239

I do not see that it is necessary that the parties should be prosecuted. But the delay in reporting the case is inexcusable. I sanction the issue of a memo to the Inspr General of Police calling for explanation for the delay in reporting the case & intimating that it will be taken into account in the event of any future delay in reporting cases. I also agree with the Dewan that the report should be called for.

10785
13-6-03

16.VI.03

Read

Letter No. 6981/420 of the 18th June 1903 from the Dist Q— Police in regard recommending the prosecution of the parties concerned in a case of infant marriage that took place at Mahishi, Mirbahalla Taluk Shimoga Dt., on the 17th March 1902.

Order thereon.

The marriage referred to in the above letter took place nearly 15 months ago, and the bride Gangamba, who was then only 2½ months below the minimum age for marriage, is now nearly 9 years old. Under these circs, Govt do not consider that any useful object would be gained by the prosn of the parties concerned. They however note that there has been a great delay in making this report — for which no satisfactory

[illegible] explanations
[illegible] the officer a Mr. [illegible]
[illegible] therefor, whose names
[illegible] explanations shall
[illegible] reported in the order
[illegible]

10.5.43

To The I.G. of Police
[illegible] the enclosures
[illegible]
[illegible] Cen. Shimoga

The I-G. of Police is requested to take notice of this matter, and to see that such delay is avoided in future.

[illegible]
[illegible]

J2852/
1902-1903

SECTION Police
FILE NO. 2,974
SERIAL NO. 2

From The Director general of
12975. in Mysore.
JUN 1903
The Secretary to the Govt of
Mysore.
neral and Revenue Depts.

Dated 30 May 1903
Dispatched 1-6-1903
Received 2.6.03 (3.30 Pm)
General No. 6939
No. 415

Enclosure No. one file.

Recommending the in-
prosecution of the
parties concerned in
an infanticide marriage
that took place at
Mahishi, Chinthamalli
Taluk on 1-3-1902.

Read
2.6.03
Sanction for the action
reporting.
1/6/03
Order 6/6/03

1902 — 1903.

From, C. Madiah Esq. R.B.
Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

To, H.V. Nanjundayya Esqr.
M.A. Bar-at-Law.
Secretary to the Govt. of H. Highness
the Maharajah of Mysore
Genl: & Rev: Departments

Dated. 30th May 1903

No: 6939 — 6 — 1903
S+. 415 — Onshil

I have the honor to submit a memo and other records connected with the infant marriage of Kamalabayee aged about 6 years 3 months - days, daughter of Sathya Sandha Gurusaya Char at Mahishi village of the — Thirthahally Taluk, Shimoga District and to requisition sanction of Government for the prosecution of the parties concerned in the said marriage, as noted overleaf.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Inspector General of Police

Encls.

1. Name of the bride	Kamalaboyee
2. " of the bridegroom	Vysachar.
3. " of the bride's father	Sathya Sandha Guruvayya Char
4. " of the bridegroom's father	Sreepati Gopalachar.
5. " of any other party concerned in the marriage	Bheemachar and Bindumadhava Char.
6. Age of the bride on the day of marriage	Six years and three months.
7. Age of the bridegroom on the day of marriage	About 12 years.
8. Date of marriage.	15th. March 1902.
9. Names of the village & Taluk where the marriage took place	Mahishi Village, Tirthahalli Taluk, Shimoga District.
10. Records connected with the Case.	one file as per Pherist.
11. Persons recommended for prosecution	1 Satya Sandha Guruvayachar bride's father, 2. Sreepati Gopalachar, bridegrooms' father and 3. Mirittigay Ramamurthy Char Purohit.
12. Name of the officer recommending the prosecution Under Section 3 of the Infant Marriage prevention Repilation.	The Assistant Superintendent of Police Shimoga District.
(a) Date of report.	(a) 9th May 1903.
13. Recommendation made by the Inspector General of Police	The prosecution recommended may be sanctioned.
14. Any other remarks.	The parties are all Brahmins.

~~1901~~ 1902-1903.

SECTION — Part II

FILE No. — 1 of 4

5

From The Inspector General of Police in Mysore

To The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore General and Revenue Dept

OFFICE OF THE
Regd 13041.
4- JUN 1903
2555

Dated 1
Despatched 2 } June 1903.
Received

General No. 6981
No. 1,20

Enclosure No. one file

Recommending the
prosecution of the parties
concerned in an infant
marriage ~~etcd~~ etcd took
place at Mahishi, c
Hirahalli taluk on
27-3-1902.

1

From,
C. Madiah Esqr. Rao Bahadur
Inspector General of Police,
Mysore.

To,
H.V. Ramjundayya Esqr. M.A.B.L.
Secy. to the Govt. of H.H. the
Maharaja of Mysore
Dated 18th June 1903 Genl. & Rev: Department
No: 6981
420 ouerle

I Have the honor to submit a memo and other records connected with the infant marriage of Yennalooye aged about 7 years 9 months 18 days, daughter of Bheema Char ——— at Malleshi, Nirthahally Taluk, Shimoga District. and to Requisition sanction of Government for the prosecution of the parties concerned in the said marriage, as noted overleaf.

I have The honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient Servant

C. Madiah
Inspector General of Police

Encls

1. Name of the bride —— Yennaboyee.

2. " of the bridegroom —— Gunda Charia

3. " of the bride's father —— Bheema Char.

4. " of the bridegroom's father —— Narasimha Charia.

5. " of any other party concerned in the marriage —— Vijaya Char; Shama Char; Lakshminarayan Jois and Bindu Madhava Char.

6. Age of the bride on the day of marriage —— 7 years 9 months and 18 days.

7. Age of the bridegroom on the day of marriage —— About 12 years.

8. Date of marriage. —— 27th March 1902.

9. Names of the village & Taluk where the marriage took Place —— Mahishi, Nirthahally Taluk. Shimoga District.

10. Records Connected with the Case. —— One file as per Pheris

11. Persons recommended for prosecution —— 1. Bheema Char — bride's father
2. Narasimha Char — bridegroom's father
3. Vijaya Char — Purohit

12. Name of the officer recommending the prosecution Under Section of the Infant Marriage prevention Repilation. —— Assistant Superintendent of Police. Shimoga District

13. Recommendation made by the Inspector General of Police —— The prosecution recommended may be panctioned.

14. Any other remarks. —— The parties are all Brahmins.

5

SECTION Police

52 of 02

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

SER 10.

R 23/6/03

READ—

Letter No. 6939, dated 30th May 1903

from the Inspector General of Police in Mysore,

reporting that on the 1st March an infant girl aged 6 years & 3 months

named Kamalabayi, daughter of Sathya Sandhai Gurumaychar

was married to one Vijayachar son of Sreepati Gopalachar

at Mahishi village, Tirthahalli Taluk.

ORDER THEREON No. 2032-3 DATED 18/6/03 189

J. M.

Under the provisions of section 8 of Regulation X of 1894, the Government are pleased to direct the prosecution, for offences under section 3 of the Mysore Infant Marriages Prevention Regulation, of the marginally noted persons who caused the marriage of the infant girl named Kamalabayi with a boy named Vijayachar and of all persons who knowingly aided and abetted the same, ~~and of the said husband~~

2. There has been a delay of more than a year in reporting this case for which the orders of Govt. The cause should be explained

~~General Secretary.~~

Secretary 17/6/03

To

The Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District.
The Inspector General of Police in Mysore.

returning enclosures.

(1) Sathya Sandhya Gurumaychar, bridegroom's father
(2) Sreepati Gopalachar, bridegroom's father
(3) Mavittiyar Hanumanthachar, Purohit.

SECTION Police

FILE No. 52 5702

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

SER'AL No. 11

READ—

Letter No. 6981 dated 1st June 1903

from the Inspector General of Police in Mysore,

reporting that on the 27th March an infant girl of aged 7 years, 9 months

named Gennabayee, daughter of

Bheemachar

was married to one Gundacharya

son of Narasinhacharya

at Mahishi, Thirthahalli Taluk.

1/

ORDER THEREON No. 2043-44 DATED 2/6/ 1903.

J. Dr

Under the provisions of section 8 of Regulation X of 1894, the Government are pleased to direct the prosecution, for offences under section 3 of the Mysore Infant Marriages Prevention Regulation, of ~~all~~ the marginally noted persons who caused the marriage of the infant girl named Gennabayee with a boy named Gundacharya ~~and of all persons who~~ knowingly aided and abetted the same, ~~and of the said husband~~ 2. Narasimhacharya, the bridegroom's father, who is also recommended by the I.G. for prosecution, as unless arrested from the Tirthahalli Taluk, he will abscond.

3. The serious attention of the Inspector Genl is invited to the long and objectionable delay which is very common in reporting such cases and which Govt General Secretary. have noticed more than once. He is requested to report the name of the Off responsible for it and to take adequate notice of his conduct.

The Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District.
The Inspector General of Police in Mysore.
Returning records

4/6/03
for Secretary

1. Bheemachar - Bridegroom's father
2. Nyayachar, Purohit